

Guidelines for the Medium Range Facilities Access Panel (MAPs)

isismachitalia.eu

MAP is an external independent peer review panel responsible for the selection and scientific evaluation of the proposals submitted by potential users requesting access to the suite of MRFs. The panel is composed of 9-13 independent members with a collective scientific knowledge of complex materials and interphases (CMI) and atomic-to-micro analysis and technology, covering the science areas supported by the IM@IT Research Infrastructure.

The **Remit** of the MAP is:

- To recommend to the Executive Director of IM@IT a balanced science program based upon the criteria of **scientific excellence and timeliness** (all within the bounds of technical feasibility and safety implications) and, where appropriate, the potential economic impact and contribution to knowledge exchange and transfer.
- To comment on the appropriateness of the number of instrument days requested for the experiments proposed.
- To identify after each proposal round scientific trends and facility development issues (including software development) which are of relevance to the MRF instrument.

The MRFs suite includes:

AFM	The Nanowizard II – JPK-Bruker
AFM Raman	Raman Spectrometer XploRA Plus
Confocal Microscope 1	Laser Scanning Confocal Microscope Leica TCS SP2
Confocal Microscope 2	Laser Scanning Confocal Microscope Leica TCS SP8
Confocal Microscope 3	Laser lines at 454, 488, 514, 635 nm
Cryogenic Electron Microscopy	CEM in Transmission, model Thermo Scientific™ Glacios™
Dynamic Mechanical Analyzer	DMA Star Systems – Mettler Toledo
FIB-SEM GAIA 3	FIB-SEM with simultaneous milling and EBSD
FT-IR Nexus	Nicolet Nexus 870
FT-IR Nicolet	Endowed with LightDrive Optical Engine components
Fluorescence Microscopy	BX51 microscope
Mass Spectrometer 1	Rapiflex™ MALDI TissueTyper™
Mass Spectrometer 2	Orbitrap Fusion Tribrid mass spectrometer
NMR 600 MHz	Bruker Avance III 600 MHz NMR
Raman Confocal Microscope	Microscope inVia™ Qontor™ model
SAXS GISAXS	Xenocs XEUSS 3.0
SAXS WAXD	Saxspace Anton-Paar
SEM FEI	SEM FEI QUANTA 200
SEM LEO SUPRA	SUPRA 35 Field Emission SEM
SEM ZEISS GEMINI	FEG-SEM with a nominal resolution of 1.2 nm
SEM ZEISS SIGMA	Scanning electron microscope with field-emission source

SEM with correlative AFM
Spectrofluorimeter
TEM FEI
TEM High Resolution
TEM JEOL
X-Ray diffractometer
XRD TOMOGRAPHY

SEM system with EDS-SPM
Varian Eclipse Spectrofluorimeter
LaB6 source (120 kV) and BF detector and FEI Eagle
ThermoFisher Talos F200X
JEOL JEM 2100 Plus with a LaB6 emitter
Rigaku SmartLab SE
RIGAKU Nano3DX

If a panel member is unable to attend, he is requested to notify the panel secretary as soon as possible in advance so that a substitute member can be found if necessary. Written comments are expected from non-attending members. Panel members who are unable to attend in person may attend by Zoom video conference.

Reasonable travel and subsistence costs are reimbursed to members when attending panel meetings.

Panel Working Method and Protocols

MAP members are provided with all the proposals for their panel in advance of the meeting. Each proposal will be assigned to two MAP members who act as primary speakers to give their assessment of the proposal at the MAP meeting. Proposals are then discussed by the MAP, considering any technical issues raised by IM@IT representatives. The MAP should arrive at a grade for each proposal (see ***Proposal Grading and Prioritisation*** in Table 1). The MAP will be notified of the number of days available to them for each instrument being considered, and panels should recommend, based on the days available, which proposals should be awarded instrument time and the number of days to be given.

Comments should be provided by panels to be fed back to proposers, particularly where instrument time is not awarded or significantly reduced.

Panel members should highlight any proposal where they consider there to be ethical issues. This may include unethical practice (*e.g.*, plagiarism), but also where additional protocols may be necessary before an experiment can be allowed to take place (*e.g.*, use of biological material, material from human subjects, genetic modification, etc).

Panel Code of Practice

Panel members should declare all conflicts of interest. Members are expected to leave the room during consideration of these proposals and if proposals from their own departments are being considered. The MAP Chair is responsible for deciding on potential conflicts of interest where these are raised. All papers relating to the proposal review are to be treated as ***confidential*** and should not be discussed outside the meeting; panel discussions and results of the peer review process should also be kept

confidential.

Proposal grading and prioritisation

The MAP will peer review all the submitted proposals and agree on an overall grade for each proposal. The grades and an indication of the associated definitions and expected outcomes are given in the table below. Proposals which are scientifically or technically flawed should be rejected and marked X.

Grade	Expected Review Outcome	Definition – for guidance
10	Instrument time allocation is essential	Outstanding, World class
9		
8	Instrument time allocation is recommended	Excellent
7		
6	Instrument time allocation is possible	Good
5		
4	Instrument time allocation should not be made	Fair
3		
2		Uncompetitive
1		Unsatisfactory
R	Panel would like to see a resubmission with panel comments addressed	Resubmit
X	Panel do not want to see a resubmission	Reject

IM@IT Access Mechanisms

1. Access to Medium Range Facilities

Direct access is suitable for all service, training and instrument time using MRF1 equipment. Proposals are submitted to two calls for proposals each year with deadlines in April and October each year. All direct access proposals are peer reviewed by the (MAP). Proposals which are allocated beamtime are scheduled by ISIS scientists normally between 2 months and 4 months after the proposal deadline.

2. Industrial Collaborative Program

An Industrial Collaborative program (ICP) is also offered. It is a fast-track route for industries based in Italy to use MRF1 equipment for service, training, and instrument time. Requests of time using the ICP route can be submitted at any time. Requests are reviewed by a small panel with appropriate expertise, including the MAP chair, under strict confidentiality rules. Industrial users may also buy beamtime directly by contacting the IM@IT User Office (useroffice@isismachitalia.eu).

IM@IT User Office
revised: May 17th, 2023